



**Minutes of the 32nd meeting of the PGC held on 28<sup>th</sup> November, 2018 at 12.00 p.m. in the meeting room, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, New Academic Building, IIIT-D, New Delhi**

***Following were present:***

1. Dr. A.V. Subramanyam- Chairman
2. Prof. Samaresh Chatterji
3. Dr. Mayank Vatsa
4. Dr. Sriram K
5. Dr. Ganesh Bagler
6. Dr. Rajiv Ratn Shah
7. Dr. Anubha Gupta
8. Ms. Rashmil Mishra - General Manager (Placements,  
Corporate Relations & IOP)
9. Mr. K P Singh - Academic In-charge
10. Ms. Sheetu Ahuja - Manager (Academics)
11. Ms. Priti Patel - JM(Academics)
12. Mr. Ashutosh Brahma - JM(Academics)
13. Mr. Roshan Kumar Mishra - JM(Academics)

At the outset Dr. A.V. Subramanyam, Chair PGC welcomed all to the meeting of the PGC. Thereafter, the agenda items were taken up for discussion and the following decisions/recommendations were made:

**1. Confirmation of the minutes of the 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of the PGC held on 31<sup>st</sup> October, 2018.**

Minutes of the 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of the PGC held on 31<sup>st</sup> October, 2018 were confirmed.

**ACTION TAKEN REPORT**

Chair PGC apprised the members of the action taken on the various decisions taken by the PGC at its 31<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 31<sup>st</sup> October, 2018. The PGC noted the position.

**2. To further discuss the issue related to Industrial Project.**

Chair PGC initiated the discussion on the issue related to Industrial Project. Thereafter, Ms. Rashmil Mishra, General Manager (Placement Corporate Relations & IOP) who was especially invited to give her input on this matter informed that Companies visiting the Campus for selecting the students only give internship offer as per their terms and conditions. It is the students who on their own request the company to allow them to do Industrial project along with the Internship. She also informed that the process of selection for 2017 batch students was started sometime in May-June whereas the decision to discontinue the existing list of approved companies was taken on July 9th, 2018 and that too was not communicated to the students. Consequently some of the students of 2017 batch are continuing the Industrial Project in the companies approved by the PGC till July 9, 2018. After detailed deliberations, the PGC decided to allow the students of 2017 batch already doing Industrial Project in the companies in the approved list (till July 9, 2018). They should also be informed that, as per PGC minutes dated July 9th and Senate minutes dated August 21, 2018, the existing list of approved companies is no longer valid and hence no student from any batch can go for Industrial Project until a new list of companies is approved.

As regards the suggestion on Industrial Project shared by Dr. Debajyoti Bera it was decided to have further discussion on his suggestion in the next meeting.

**3. To discuss the process for nomination of students for Ph.D. program under QUT (suggestions received from Director vide email dated 3rd July 2018)**

Consideration of this item was again deferred to the next meeting.

**4. To explore the possibility of MS program (with thesis).**

Consideration of this item was again deferred to the next meeting.

**5. To frame guidelines for students who are coming through rolling admission mode and changing guide at later stage.**

Chair PGC informed the members that some students who initially join Ph.D. program through rolling admission later on want to change the advisor. After a brief discussion it was decided to refer the matter to the Departments along with the guidelines approved by the Senate for their views on the question whether the request for change of advisor from such students can be considered. If so, the conditions on which the change of Advisor should be allowed may also be suggested.

- 6. To discuss whether the students likely to complete graduation requirement by 21st December should be allowed to attend the Convocation for photo opportunity due to increase in number.**

Chair PGC apprised the members of the background. It was noted that there has been significant increase in the number of such students over the years and a number of students fail to complete the graduation requirements by 21<sup>st</sup> December. With the starting of new programs the number of such students are further expected to increase in the coming years. Therefore, the PGC did not agree to allow the students who are likely to complete the graduation requirement by 21<sup>st</sup> December, to attend the Convocation for photo opportunity.

- 7. To discuss whether the mandatory requirement for M.Tech. program to complete OOPD and RM should be applicable to Dual Degree and Migrated PhD students(taking M.Tech. on the way)**

Chair PGC apprised the members of the background. After a brief discussion it was decided to refer the matter to the Departments for their views.

- 8. BoG in its 42<sup>nd</sup> meeting suggested deliberations at Institute level to evolve recommendations about preferred time duration for Ph.D.**

Ms. Sheetu Ahuja, Manager (Academics) apprised the members of the background. After a brief discussion it was decided to gather data (Email IDs) of CSIR/INSPIRE Fellowship applicants and for those coming to attend various schools at IIITD. Before every admission cycle, information should be sent on the collected data. Also for deciding about the suitable time to run Ph.D. program, committee decided to refer the matter to the Ph.D. Admission Committee for their views/suggestions.

The committee also decided to recommend Admission committee that there would be CB Ph.D. admission interview later on the same day or on appropriate day and time, and if the students are willing, they can apply for that as well.

- 9. To discuss M.Tech. thesis sponsorship by industry**

After a brief discussion it was decided to forward this matter to the Departments for their views.

- 10. To discuss the SP (SP/CapP/IndP) report format.**

After a brief discussion it was decided to share the proposed report format in the PGC mailing group for further discussion over email.

- 11. To discuss the Timeline for M.Tech. student to move to a specialization from without specialization option.**

Chair PGC apprised the members of the background and the existing (specific) Regulation for M.Tech. programs in CSE and ECE. After detailed deliberations it was decided to refer the matter to CSE and ECE departments for their views within a week. After the views of these departments are received the DOAA and Chair PGC will examine and make appropriate proposal to the PGC for consideration and for making recommendation to the next meeting of the Senate. The new regulation when approved by the Senate will be applicable from next year.

**12. To discuss about PhD program duration (refer email from Dr.Sambuddho)**

Consideration of this item was deferred to the next meeting.

**13. To consider Exit form format for PhD students.**

Consideration of this item was deferred to the next meeting.

**14. To discuss about awarding letter grade for yearly review evaluation of PhD students.**

Consideration of this item was deferred to the next meeting.

**15. To review (i) the existing guidelines for Best M.Tech. Thesis Award and (ii) prescribe timeline for finalizing the various Awards/Medals. (matter referred by 38th Senate Meeting)**

Consideration of this item was deferred to the next meeting.

**16. To report data on M.Tech students doing Thesis or SP**

Consideration of this item was deferred to the next meeting.

**17. To consider Dual Degree Rules for B.Tech CS+X students**

Chair PGC apprised the members of the background. After a brief discussion it was decided to refer the matter to the Departments for their views.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.